G. R. S. 58

Previous references.

Mur 56-09

~~xxxxxxxxxx~~

Later references. 1/6

910

3-0

1913.

(Murai)

File No. 227-1913

Serial Nos. 1 to 4

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 4.

Part B. for August 1914

SUBJECT.

- Cancellation of the main tenure of the lands awarded for Ganesh Puja at Hosakote in the Krishnarajapet Taluk.

Sl no 1 From the Deputy Commr, Mysore District

No Dated 23rd October 1913.

Recommends that the main tenure

the lands may be cancelled, the land

being treated as Government land

Sl no 2 To. Do - No 1546 Dated 5th December 1913.

Requests that a Copy of Govt order

(GPL 8,000—7-14-7)

8828-9 Dt 18th December 1892 may be

Mur P. 216-92

Submitted for reference.

G.R.S. 11] 1913.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mugnai)

NOTES.

File No. 227-13

FILE HEADING.

Cancellation of the inam tenure of the lands endowed for Gowri Puja at Hoskote in the Krishnarajpet Taluk.

From

The Dy Commissioner, Mysore District.

No. 183 Dated 23-10-13 Received

Diary No. 838	Enclos. 1 File Spare Copies. -	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Recommends that under the circumstances explained in his communication, the inam tenure of the land ref to may be cancelled, the land being treated as a Government land.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The facts of the case are given at length in the office-note in Reference File no. 56 of 1903 hereunto submitted.

Wet S. no. 84 of Hoskote village is an inam land granted for the support of

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB – 35,000 -15 6-12

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 27 of 1913.

Serial No.

Gowri Puje at Hoskote.

In Para 2 of Govt Pro no 5723-5 D/ 19th June 1908, it was observed with reference to this land, that the appellant Sanbog Narnappa had no manner of right over it except that of tenancy, and that the Government had in consideration of his long enjoyment and the improvements effected to the land, had directed his continuance on condition of his paying a gutta of 8 kandies of paddy in addition to Govt dues, and also paying up all the arrears of gutta at the same rate, deducting from such arrears what had been actually expended by him towards Gowri Puje. The appellant was informed that in case he failed to comply with either of the above conditions, he would be dispossessed of the inam land in question.

Vala - Sanbog Krishnappa of Hoskote petitioned Government stating that he had been getting Puje performed by the poojari of the place for the last 10 or 11 years

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—60,000—7-11-13

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 27 of 1913.

Serial No.

at his own cost, and praying that such expenses might be re-imbursed, and that the arrears of gutta due on the inam land might be recovered from Sanbag Narnappa. The petition was forwarded for report in confirmation of the Government order referred to.

From the Dy Commissioners present report now received, it will be seen that Sanbag Narnappa died 2 years ago and his only son predeceased him, that he never paid any gutta, that while alive he was contending that the Govt order of June 1908 needed revision, as it had been passed without an examination of the documents with him etc.

In his ~~Statement~~ Kyphiyat dated 11th July 1913, Sanbag Venkatasubbiah, nephew of Narnappa, states that he cannot pay the arrears due by his uncle, that the land is in his possession, that he has maintained a Sowri in his house and has been utilizing the income from the land towards the Puje, and that if Govt are not

GPB—60,000—7-11-13

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 227 of 1913.

Serial No.

inclined to treat it as inam, it may be assessed and allowed to continue in his possession.

As the inam is intended for the maintenance of the temple and ~~for~~ Gowri Puje therein, and as there is no such institution at the place, and as the contending parties have separate private Gowries in their houses, the Amildar recommends that under Govt order No. 8828-9/P.216-92 Dy 18-12-1892, the land may be assessed and treated as Govt land - the Dy. Commr agrees with the Amildar and solicits Govt orders in the matter of the cancellation of the inam tenure of the land.

Submd. with a Dft. calling for a copy of G.O. Dy 18-12-1892

24-11-1913.

2-12-13

2 To the Dy. Commr. Mysore Dt.

No 1546 Dy 5-12-13 Requests that a copy of G.O. No 8828-9/P.216-92 Dy 18-12-1892 may be submitted for reference.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—60,000—7-11-13

S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 227 of 1913.

Serial No.

3

From, The Dy. Commr, Mysore Dist
Letter no 272 Dy 19-1-1914.
Submits a copy of the Govt order
of 1892.
Submitted for orders
NVL(118)
12-3-1914

Two points requiring orders are involved in this case.

(1) The disposal of the land in question.

(2) The disposal of Shanbog Krishnappa's petition praying that the land in question may be made over to him as he has been getting the Gowri puja performed by the Joyesar of the village for the past 10 or 11 years &c.

As regards (1) - As either Narnappa (since deceased) or his heirs have failed to act up to the terms of para 2 of G.O of June 1908, they are liable to be dispossessed of the Devadaya Inam land in question and the lease given out to some other person But the Deputy Commr recommends the cancellation of the Inam tenure

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—60 000—7 11 13

of the land and treating it as any other Govt land, on the ground that there is no temple in the village to force upon the heirs of the said Narnappa the conditions of the grant of the Inam.

As regards point 2, the Deputy Commr says that he also keeps a Gowramma in his own house during Bhadrapada months and as such has not got any more right for the land in question. Krishnappa ~~has~~ is praying for a personal hearing of his case. There seems to be nothing to support Naranappa's allegations that his ancestors were the original grantees of the Inam. He has no right to retain the land in spite of the repeated orders of the Dyby's Govt

Ann
28/4/14

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—60,000—7-11-13

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 227 of 1913.

Serial No.

Subd to 1st member

The Solution now pro-
posed by the Govt. does not ap-
pear to be either desirable or expedient
in the circumstances of the case.

In 1897 Shanbogue Narasappa
executed to pay an yearly rent of
8 candies of paddy. Having appealed
to the bargain he appealed to the Govt
Commr and to the Govt and it was
finally decided by Govt in June
1908 that Narasappa lost only the
right of a tenant over the land
and that he must pay a rent of
8 candies of paddy in addition
to paying the Govt dues. Narasappa
did not seek for a review of the
order and the land authorities should
have enforced the order. Six years
have been allowed to pass and
Narasappa and his successor in
interest have been enjoying the
land without paying anything to
the Govt Shanbogue
The Govt Commr must
propose to leave the land in the
possession of Narasappa's successor
fully assessing the land. The tenant-

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—60,000—7-11 13.

G R S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 227 of 1913.

Serial No.

is already paying the water rate and quit rent. and payment of full assessment would mean a levy of about Rs 3 a year while as a tenant he would have had to pay a rent of 8 Candies in addition or about Rs 60. The Sanction is therefore equitable so far as the purpose for which the Inam was granted is concerned.

The consideration that there is no local temple at which the Puja in question may be done cannot have any bearing as the Inam was granted expressly for the worship of Ganpati and Ganesha temporarily installed during Bhadrapada months. It is for the local Mamlatdar to lay down the conditions of the worship of the temporarily installed deities.

The Inam being Devadaya it is not on a par with the Inams granted for Artisan Service and the order of 18th Dec. 1832 applying to the latter cannot be treated as a precedent or guide in the

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—60,000—7 11-13

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 227 of 1913.

Serial No.

disposal after former.

Even if it should be considered necessary to resuscitate worship after temporarily installed deities in the village, the Devadaya Laws would be sufficient for utilisation on similar objects elsewhere under G.O. the Mysore Regulation

It is not correct to say that the claim of Krishnappa to be reimbursed his expenses stands on the same par as that of Ramaiah who had also maintained a Gramdevata in his own house. It appears to be clear from the previous records that a Sri Kari Gramdevata was installed and worshipped in the village and the claim of Krishnappa relates to the expenses of this worship. If any amount is recovered from Ramaiah's nephew Venkatasubbiah some allowance may be made to Krishnappa on this account. Venkatasubbiah himself came into possession two years ago and for this period at least he is bound to pay the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—60,000—7-11-13

G. R. S. 12]

(10

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 227 of 1913.

Serial No.

Read.

I do not think it of much use to employ the income of this field for a temporary gain in the village. Such a disposal will always be abused and the proceeds appropriated almost wholly by the person appointed.

The arrears of kuttu ~~rent~~ due from Narasappa can hardly be expected to be realised now after his death. His nephew should be made to pay up for 2 years since he got possession.

The field should be taken possession of at once by the Amildar, and

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—60,000—7-11-13

Serial No.

leased out on the best terms. The proceeds shd be credited to Murray funds under the Head Gauripuja at Hoskóte &c, but shd not be utilised without express order of Govt. ~~It would be useful to~~

It will be available for application to some other similar object.

29/6/14

Dy. Secy. to Govt.

Brd.

Submd. with a Dft

M/115
11.7.1914

Brd. as Mysp. Secretariat

Submitted with a Dft. order

M/115
4.8.1914

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—60,000—7-11,13

(12)

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 227 of 1913

Serial No.

Put up.

U.J.

Chandrappa (Hiriyur) &c.

SG

H.E.K.

Draft appd by First member
13 & 14
Dated 14/1/14

4 To D. C. Mysore, Controller & Inspector
No. 476 dt 22.5.-14

Directs that the waste land ordered for Gowri Puja at Hariharpur be taken possession of by the Amildar and leased out on ta.

13

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—60,000—7-11 18

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Mangalore District

To

The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department.

Dated 23

Despatched

Received

General No. _____
No.

Enclosure No.

Submits report on the petition of Krishnappa re inam land of Gowri Poojal Hosakote

REVIEW No. 838

12B-14
Mus
237-13
1

GPB—50 000—23-2 12

2

No. 483
file

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Dated Mysore, 2nd October 1913.

From,

A. Subrahmanya Iyer Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner, Hyder's District

To,

K.R. Sreenivasa Iyengar Esquire, M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 66/Inam-7. 24-08-2 dated 9.10.1908 and subsequent reminders calling for a report on the petition of Shanbhag Krishnappa in the matter of the Inam lands endowed for the Gowri puja at Hosakote Krishnarajpet Taluk, I have the honor to state that it

it is learnt from the report of the Amildar of Krishnarajpet received with French-Rocks Sub Division officer's yadi No. 114 of the 24.9.1913 that Shanbhag Naranappa referred to in the letter under reply died some 2 years back that he never paid any patta as per Government order No 5723-5 annx dated 19.6.1908 but Kept on Contending that the Government orders needed revision on the ground that it had been passed without looking into all documentary evidences with

with him to show that the Inam
land in his enjoyment was originally
in the Khatê of one Maniama Halê
was bought by his ancestors
and made over to the name of
Gouramma to be kept in his house
and worshipped during ೨೦ ದಿವಸ
every year adding that there
was no separate Gouramma
temple in the village to which
the endowment is said to have
been made that his only son
predeceased him, that there
is one Venkata Subbiah son
of the elder brother of the
said Narainappa who has

been

been enjoying the Inam lands in question, that he also contends on the same lines as Narainappa. The above said Venkata Subbiah has deposed that if Government are not inclined to treat the land as Inam they are welcome to assess the same fully and that he is quite willing to pay the assessment thereon. The Amildar also reports that there is no temple, to force upon the said Venkata Subbiah the Conditions of the grant of the Inam, that the land

has

has been in the enjoyment of the family from a very long time, that the petitioner Shanbhag Krishnappa also keeps a Gouramma in his own house during ಶ್ರಾದ್ಧ and as such has not got any more right for the land in question and that the best way to solve the question will be to assess the land in full as per Government proceedings No. 8828-9/P.216-92 dated 18-12-1892 and treat the same as Government land.

Under the circumstances

I also agree with the Auditor and request that you will be so good as to recommend to Government to cancel the inamth. tenure of the land, treat it as any other Government land.

On receipt of Government orders on the subject needful steps will be taken to recover the full assessment from the time of the settlement from the present occupant of the land.

The petition with its enclosures received with

the

The letter under reply is herewith returned.

The entire file of papers on the subject ending with Inder Sub Division officer's yadino: 114 dated 24. 9. 1913 is herewith submitted for reference.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Asst: Commissioner
& Deputy Commissioner
Dungpore District
absent from Head Quarters

G.R.S. 29A]

190

From

Secy. to the Govt. of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Dept.

To

The D.C., Mysore District

Dated 5-12-13

Despatched

Received

General No. 1346
Dmo No. 287-13
Enclosure No.

Adverting to his letter
No 183 Dy 23d October 1913
recommending the Cancellation
of the inam tenure of the
land endowed for Gosore
Puje at Hoskote in the
Krishnarajpet Taluk, has the
honor to request that a
copy of Govt order no.
8828-9 / P.216-92 Dy 18th December 1892
referred to in Amildar's